Is Sittingbourne an appealing place to live for young people?

Task 1: What were your two hypotheses?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 |  |
| 2 |  |

What data can we use to prove or disprove our hypotheses?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Appeal of Sittingbourne hypothesis | Environmental quality of Sittingbourne hypothesis |
|  |  |

Task 3: You will now write your own analysis of your findings so far.

What to include (each number represents a paragraph):

1. Brief outline of what you have done so far.
2. Traffic count findings and if the results support or don’t support your hypothesis.
3. Environmental quality survey findings and if the results support or don’t support your hypothesis.
4. Online research findings - do these support your hypothesis? What does crime, house prices and resident opinions tell you about the appeal of Northampton and the environmental quality?
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Conclusion and Evaluation

Use the structure strip to help you to write your conclusion.

|  |
| --- |
| Introduction:My two hypotheses were…Look back in your book to find your two hypotheses. |
| One piece of evidence that strongly supports my hypotheses is…Look back in your book at your methods/data presentation. |
| This supports my hypothesis because…Look at your data how does it prove or disprove your hypothesis? |
| A second piece of evidence that strongly supports my hypotheses is…Find a second piece of data that supports your hypothesis e.g. questionnaire. |
| This supports my hypothesis because…Look at your data how does it prove or disprove your hypothesis? |
| One piece of evidence that is an anomaly is…Find some data which doesn’t fit the pattern. |
| Overall, I have proved/disproved my hypotheses, I know this because…Bring your work to a close.  |

Evaluation

Look at each of your methods. Do you think you did them well? Complete your assignment by adding them to this scale. Explain why you placed them where you did.

Methods

* Field sketch
* Environmental quality survey
* Radar graph
* Traffic count
* Epitome words
* Word cloud
* Picture annotations
* Bar chart for traffic count
* Secondary research into crime.
* IMD data
* Secondary research into the opinions of others.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 😊 | :I (middle) | ☹ |
|  |  |  |

Write your evaluation here:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Description | Mark |
| Detailed and elaborated statements throughout.They have used the data on the assignment to compare and have made comparative statements using appropriate vocabulary.They have been able to link answers to other geographical processes. Full range of sources included and linked together. Full evaluation of accuracy, reliability and validity of methods. Discussion of how future changes could alter this view. | FP 8-9 |
| Detailed statements throughout with clear explanations on why they think their data looks like it does. Some links to other geographical processes. Large range of sources included with a description of how view could change in the future. Clear evaluation of methods used. | FP7 |
| Detailed statements throughout with clear descriptions on why they think their data looks like it does. Some links to other geographical processes. Range of sources included with a description of how views could change in the future. Includes an evaluation of methods used with some improvements for next time. | FP6 |
| Clear descriptions on why they think their data looks like it does. Some of the sources included with a description of how views could change in the future. Includes an evaluation of methods used. | FP5 |
| Answer is structured with clear setences that outline what the data shows and how it links to the hypothesis. Answer may reference secondary data as well as the primary data. Answer gives an example of how Sittingbourne could change in the future. Evaluation highlights some of the positives and negatives of techniques used. | FP4 |
| With support, answer is structured with clear sentences that outline what the data shows with some links to the hypothesis. Answer focuses on the primary data. Answer identifies how Sittingbourne could change in the future. Partial evaluation of some of the methods. | FP3 |
| With support, answer is structured with clear sentences that outline what the data shows. Answer focuses on the primary data. Partial evaluation of some of the methods. | FP2 |
| Incomplete response that highlights some of the methods used and what the data showed. Incomplete evaluation of the methods used. | FP1 |

**Teacher Assessment.**

FP

PF

PM

Tasks:

1. Explain how Sittingbourne could change in the future and how this could change people’s views.
2. Link together a piece of primary research with a piece of secondary research. Explain how they link and what it shows.
3. Explain in detail why a higher traffic count reduces the quality of the environment.
4. In your evaluation, describe how your data is or isn’t accurate, reliable and valid.
5. Clearly describe what you would do to make this fieldwork better next time.